Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,346	KOBAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) Arezoo Sherkat.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael J Ferrazano</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 January 2007	Time:	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	licant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23 and 25		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a re record of the substance or	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/813,346

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding claims 23 and 25, to overcome the lack of anticedent basis issue for "said encrypted plurality of pixel data" in the last limitation by changing it ot " a second encrypted plurality of pixel data".

Regrading claim 25, to add limitations "stored on a computer-readable medium" to avoid 101 issue.

Claims 23 and 25, have both been amended to ensure a useful result and to avoid 101 issue.

Examiner also suggested to renumber the claims in acsending order